

SAP FRAUD · BEHAVIORAL DETECTION · MANAGED EXTENDED DETECTION & RESPONSE (M/XDR)

From Transaction Noise to Board-Level Evidence: Governing Fraud Exposure Across SAP Environments

How Banyax turns fragmented SAP transaction monitoring into a board-ready fraud prevention governance program with measurable KRI reduction, automated audit trails, and structural client retention.

INDUSTRY Cross-Industry · Mid-to-Large Enterprise · SAP ECC / S/4HANA Environments

01 · WHAT CLIENTS ACHIEVE

Four measurable outcomes before reviewing any technical architecture.

<p>Detection Speed</p> <p>5 Hours</p> <p><i>vs. 2 weeks with manual review/audit</i></p>	<p>False Positives Drop</p> <p>90% to 10%</p> <p><i>via behavioral correlation</i></p>	<p>SAP Coverage</p> <p>100%</p> <p><i>modules monitored 24x7x365</i></p>	<p>Audit Trail</p> <p>Auto</p> <p><i>audit evidence with minimal manual effort</i></p>
--	--	--	--

Before Banyax, the mid-to-large enterprise running SAP operated with three disconnected supervision layers: ERP transaction logs, manual audit sampling, and periodic vendor master reviews. No correlation. No behavioral baseline. No board-level visibility into procurement fraud exposure.

After Banyax, those three layers collapse into a single fraud governance program with Key Risk Indicator (KRI) trending available to the CFO and Audit Committee quarterly, and forensic evidence auto-generated for any investigation. The organization doesn't just detect faster. It governs.

02 · THE PROBLEM NO ONE WAS SOLVING

Three disconnected supervision layers, zero correlation — and a fraud exposure window that grows every quarter without active governance.

<p>Three silos, zero correlation</p> <p>Vendor master changes, purchase order patterns, and payment approvals live in separate SAP modules and audit processes. No control correlates them. A coordinated fraud scheme spanning all three stays invisible inside each one.</p>	<p>The authorized user gap</p> <p>The highest-risk vector in SAP fraud is not an external attacker — it's the authorized user with legitimate credentials acting outside their normal pattern. Static rule-based controls cannot distinguish a routine update from premeditated internal collusion.</p>	<p>No evidence when it matters most</p> <p>When a fraud incident surfaces weeks or months later, organizations lack a consolidated, tamper-proof record of what changed, who approved it, and what the context was. Forensic reconstruction is manual, slow, and incomplete when auditors or regulators request it.</p>
---	--	--

03 · THREE CORRELATED USE CASES — ONE GOVERNANCE PROGRAM

Detection with real business context, not static rules. Banyax's Security Operations Center (SOC) operates all three use cases in a correlated fashion through Banyax Quest, our proprietary platform. AI-led: AI processes the volume, human analysts validate every escalation.

UC 1 New Vendor Monitoring and First-Payment Threshold Detection

Banyax establishes a behavioral baseline for vendor onboarding and initial payment activity. Any first payment to a recently created vendor that exceeds a configurable threshold or bypasses standard approval chains generates an anomaly score. Banyax Quest's engine cross-references vendor creation date, the approving user's historical pattern, and whether a corresponding purchase order exists within the expected lead time.

AI-led role: *Continuous scoring across the full transaction universe, at speed and scale no audit team can sustain manually. Banyax analysts validate every prioritized case.*

UC 2 Vendor Master Data Change Correlation

Every modification to a vendor's bank details, tax ID, or contact information is correlated against the role of the user making the change, its timing relative to upcoming payment runs, and whether a formal change request exists in the ticketing system. Detects account takeover attempts — internal or external — where a legitimate vendor's payment destination is silently redirected before a major disbursement.

AI-led role: *Banyax Quest detects the combination of signals — change + user + timing + missing ticket — that individually appear normal but together form a fraud signature. Impossible to sustain in real time with manual review.*

UC 3 Duplicate or Near-Duplicate Purchase Order Detection

The engine analyzes consecutive purchase orders from the same vendor, amount proximity within a configurable tolerance, and approval sequence. Detects both exact duplicates — with direct financial impact — and deliberate near-duplicate patterns: the known signature of splitting schemes designed to stay below approval thresholds. Each cluster of suspicious orders is presented as a single consolidated case, not individual alerts.

AI-led role: *Automatic clustering of splitting patterns across hundreds of transactions is the key differentiator. Banyax Quest builds the case; the analyst decides the escalation.*

04 · HOW THE SERVICE OPERATES

From capture to forensic evidence package in five steps — all orchestrated through Banyax Quest.

<p>1</p> <p>CAPTURE</p> <p><i>SAP RFC/API connector or log extraction layer</i></p>	<p>2</p> <p>INGEST</p> <p><i>Normalized feed: transactions, master data, approval events</i></p>	<p>3</p> <p>CORRELATE</p> <p><i>Behavioral baseline + role context + approval flow + payment calendar</i></p>	<p>4</p> <p>ALERT</p> <p><i>Prioritized case queue with risk scoring & evidence</i></p>	<p>5</p> <p>RESPOND</p> <p><i>Audit-ready evidence package delivered to client/auditors</i></p>
---	--	---	---	---

05 · WHY BANYAX — THE STRUCTURAL MOAT

Four differentiators that create governance dependency, not contract dependency.

■ **Behavioral baseline advantage.**

The value is not in the ruleset — it's in months of accumulated normal behavior per user, per vendor relationship, and per business unit. A competitor entering today would need 60–90 days of transactional history to detect what Banyax detects from the first anomaly.

■ **Managed governance service, not a compliance checklist.**

The client buys outcomes: qualified alerts, contained fraud exposure, quarterly KRI reports for the Audit Committee, and forensic packages ready for any investigation. No internal team required to operate the detection layer.

■ **Business-context detection, not another rules engine.**

Most SAP fraud controls are threshold-based and role-agnostic. Banyax correlates identity, approval history, procurement calendar, and transaction sequence through Banyax Quest. The result is a signal that reflects the real business context — not a static rule match.

■ **Structural retention through governance dependency.**

Quarterly reporting to the CFO and Audit Committee embeds Banyax inside the organization's governance structure. The relationship shifts from vendor-to-IT to program-to-board. That completely transforms the renewal conversation.

06 · IDEAL CLIENT PROFILE

Mid-to-large enterprises and financial institutions running SAP ECC or S/4HANA with active procurement and accounts payable operations — particularly those that have experienced vendor fraud incidents, are under internal audit pressure to strengthen preventive controls, or operate in regulated industries where transactional traceability is a compliance requirement.

07 · LEARN MORE & CONTACT

Learn more about Banyax M/XDR (Managed Extended Detection & Response) for SAP

Discover how Banyax's Managed Extended Detection & Response (M/XDR) service continuously governs fraud exposure across SAP environments — with AI-led behavioral detection, expert human validation, and board-ready KRI reporting.

Visit: banyax.com

Want to learn more?

If this document was forwarded to you and you'd like to explore how Banyax can help your organization govern SAP fraud exposure — reach out directly.

Email: marketing@banyax.com

Website: banyax.com